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Abstract: This paper proposes a self tuning fuzzy inference method by the genetic algorithm in the fuzzy-sliding mode control for a robot. Using this method, the number of inference rules and the shape of membership functions are optimized without an expert in robotics. The fuzzy outputs of the consequent part are updated by the gradient descent method. And, it is guaranteed that the selected solution become the global optimal solution by optimizing the Akaike's information criterion expressing the quality of the inference rules. The trajectory tracking simulation and experiment of the polishing robot show that the optimal fuzzy inference rules are automatically selected by the genetic algorithm and the proposed fuzzy-sliding mode controller provides reliable tracking performance during the polishing process.
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I. Introduction

To solve tracking errors related to the unmodeled dynamics in the operation of industrial robots, many researchers have used the sliding mode control which is robust against parameter variations and payload changes [1]-[5]. Lee and Aoshima [4] proposed a sliding mode control algorithm where a nonlinear and unmodeled dynamic terms were considered as external disturbances to apply the algorithm to a robot. And, the sliding mode control algorithm with two dead zones was proposed to reduce the chattering [5]. However, these algorithms could not completely reduce the inherent chattering which was caused by excessive switching inputs around the sliding surface.

In the our previous study, the fuzzy-sliding mode controller was designed to reduce the inherent chattering of the sliding mode control by using the fuzzy rules [6]. The trajectory tracking experiments showed that the chattering could be reduced prominently by the fuzzy-sliding mode controller and the controller was robust in spite of a change of payload [6]. However, the number of inference rules and the shape of membership functions of the fuzzy-sliding mode controller should be determined only through the trial and error method by an expert who had the expert knowledge of robot systems. And also, it could not be guaranteed whether the selected inference rules were the global optimal solution or not because the expert used the knowledge of robot systems. And also, it could not be guaranteed whether the selected solution become the global optimal solution by optimizing the Akaike's information criterion expressing the quality of the inference rules. The trajectory tracking simulation and experiment of the polishing robot show that the optimal fuzzy inference rules are automatically selected by the genetic algorithm and the proposed fuzzy-sliding mode controller provides reliable tracking performance during the polishing process.

II. Design of fuzzy-sliding mode controller with the trial and error

This paper proposes a self tuning fuzzy inference method by the genetic algorithm. The genetic algorithm is the search algorithm based on the mechanics of natural selection, genetics, and evolution. One of the best advantages of the genetic algorithm is to obtain global optimum because of operators such as crossover and mutation [7]. Using the genetic algorithm, in this study, the number of inference rules and the shape of membership functions of the fuzzy-sliding mode controller are optimized without the expert in robotics. And, the fuzzy outputs of the consequent part are updated by the gradient descent method. Also, it is guaranteed that the selected inference rules become the global optimal solution by optimizing the Akaike's information criterion [8][9] expressing the quality of the inference rules. Therefore, although a designer is a non-expert who has not the expert knowledge of robot systems, the fuzzy-sliding mode controller can be designed by the proposed self tuning fuzzy inference method based on the genetic algorithm.

To automate the polishing process, this study developed the polishing robot [10][12]. The developed polishing robot has always a big contact force change by removing tool marks and a vibration of tool by rotating a polishing tool during polishing [10][12]. Unless disturbances of polishing robot are compensated for properly, satisfactory control performance cannot be expected. Therefore, in order to evaluate the learning and the trajectory tracking performances of the fuzzy-sliding mode controller using the genetic algorithm, the trajectory tracking simulation and experiment of the polishing robot are carried out. And, polishing experiments on the die of the shadow mask are performed to evaluate the trajectory tracking performances of the proposed fuzzy-sliding mode controller during polishing process.

\[ J_i \dot{\theta}_i + B_i \dot{\theta}_i + F_i = k_i u_i \] (1)
where Ji is the summation of all linear terms in the moment of inertia of link i and the driving motor. Bi is the equivalent damping coefficient from the motor, reduction gears, and the viscosity friction of link i. The disturbance term Fi is the summation of the nonlinear terms: inertia moments, the Coriolis and centrifugal forces, the gravity force, and the Coulomb friction term. The ki is a constant to be determined from the motor torque coefficient, the reduction rate of gears, and the armature resistance. ui is the control input voltage.

To reduce the inherent chattering of the sliding mode control, in the previous study, the fuzzy-sliding mode controller was proposed [6]. A control input of the fuzzy-sliding mode controller can be easily obtained from the simplified dynamic (1). In order to satisfy the existence condition of the sliding mode, when the unmodeled nonlinear terms are replaced by disturbances, a control input is proposed as follows [6]:

$$u_i = \phi_{\text{obj}} f_i + \phi_{\text{objy}} \xi_y + \phi_{\text{objb}} \xi_b + \phi_{\text{objd}} \xi_d$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)$$

where $\psi f_i$ and $\psi y_i$ are feed-forward control input terms to satisfy the existence condition of sliding mode against unfavorable effects due to the desired angular velocity $\xi_y$ and the desired angular acceleration $\xi_b$ on the trajectory tracking. $\psi$ fuzzy is the control input term for compensating disturbances. In (2), the limit values of the switching parameter $\psi f_i$, $\psi y_i$, and $\psi y_i$ can be derived from the existence condition of sliding mode. And, $\psi$ fuzzy is selected by fuzzy rules within a predetermined dead zone as shown in Fig. 1 [6].

Fuzzy input variables selected in the previous study were the state value of a phase plane around the switching line and the change rate of the state value. That is, the fuzzy inputs are $s_i$ and $\dot{s}_i$, which are the fuzzified variables of the state value $s$ and the change rate of state value $\dot{s}$, respectively. The fuzzy output variable is $u_i$ which is the fuzzified variable of $\psi$ fuzzy for compensating disturbances. The fuzzy rules were established from a state value and a change rate of state value on phase plane [6]. In Fig. 1, the state space at the point P1 represents the state that $s_i$ is positive big and $\dot{s}_i$ is negative medium. That is, $s_i$ is PB(positive big), $\dot{s}_i$ is NM(negative medium). In order to quickly approach on the switching line without overshooting the line at this state, a fuzzy output $u_i$ is selected as NS(negative small). The state space at the point P2 represents the state that $s_i$ is ZO(zero), $\dot{s}_i$ is NM(negative medium). Therefore, $u_i$ is selected as PM(positive medium) because this state is far away from the switching line. Also, at the same method, the fuzzy rule about other points P3, P4 and P5 can be established as follows:

$$\begin{align*}
P1 : & \text{ If } s_i \text{ is PB and } \dot{s}_i \text{ is NM, then } u_i \text{ is NS.} \\
P2 : & \text{ If } s_i \text{ is ZO and } \dot{s}_i \text{ is NB, then } u_i \text{ is PM.} \\
P3 : & \text{ If } s_i \text{ is NB and } \dot{s}_i \text{ is NB, then } u_i \text{ is PB.} \\
P4 : & \text{ If } s_i \text{ is ZO and } \dot{s}_i \text{ is PB, then } u_i \text{ is NM.} \\
P5 : & \text{ If } s_i \text{ is PM and } \dot{s}_i \text{ is PB, then } u_i \text{ is NB.}
\end{align*}$$

And, the control input term $\psi$ key for compensating disturbances was determined by the selected fuzzy rules and defuzzification. Therefore, the fuzzy-sliding mode controller could reduce the inherent chattering because the controller changed the excessive switching input around the sliding surface into the small optimal control input [6].

However, the number of inference rules and the shape of membership functions of the fuzzy-sliding mode controller should be determined only through the trial and error method by the expert in robotics. In that case, it could not be guaranteed whether the selected inference rules were the global optimal solution or not.

III. Design of fuzzy-sliding mode controller with the genetic algorithm

1. Individuals and a fitness function

In order to optimize the number of inference rules and the shape of membership functions of the fuzzy-sliding mode controller, this study proposes a self tuning fuzzy inference method by the genetic algorithm. In the genetic algorithm, a solution candidate is expressed by binary coding. Thus, the number and shape of membership function are expressed in terms of string consisting of 0 and 1 as shown in Fig. 2. The membership function takes a triangular shape, and the width of each membership function is defined as length between the centers of the neighbor two membership functions. Also, to set the membership functions on both sides of the domain of each fuzzy input variable, the first and last bits of a string are set 1. The solution candidate expressed by a string is called an individual. A set of individuals is called a population. The individuals are determined by uniform random numbers. And, the fitness value of each individual is calculated by the selected fitness function to determine the selection probability of an individual being acted on three genetic operators: reproduction, crossover, and mutation.

To evaluate fitness of each individual in the population, the Akaike's information criterion C [8][9] is employed and the fitness function E is defined as follows:

$$C(S_i) = Ni \log(\text{ERROR}) + 2 Mi$$

$$E = C(S_i) + C(S_{\text{err}})$$

$$E = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} C(S_i) + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} C(S_{\text{err}})$$

Fig. 1. Phase plane around the switching line.
\[
\text{ERROR} = \sum_i (\psi_i(t) - \psi_{i_0})^2 
\]

\[
E(S_i) = \max_j (C(S_i)) - C(S_i)
\]

where \(Ni\) is the number of fuzzy input variables, and \(Mi\) is the number of membership functions in each individual \(Si\). \text{ERROR} is the summation of the square of trajectory errors of the difference between a desired trajectory \(\Theta \ d\) and a measured trajectory \(\Theta \ k\). \(C(S_i)\) is the information criterion of the \(i\)th individual \(Si\) and \(E(S_i)\) is the fitness value of the \(Si\) \(\max_j(C(S_i))\) is the largest value among all information criteria from the initial generation to the \(j\)th generation.

The information criterion \(C\) shows the overall capability for learning: the number of inference rules and the trajectory tracking performance. The smaller the information criterion is, the better the inference rules and the trajectory tracking performance are. Therefore, the number and position of membership functions maximizing the fitness in a string can be obtained by using the proposed self tuning fuzzy inference method.

2. Updating of the fuzzy outputs

All the universes of discourse of the fuzzified variables have a specified universes which is performed by a fuzzifier [9]. The fuzzifier performs the function of fuzzification which is a subjective valuation to transform measurement data into valuation of a subjective value. Hence, it can be defined as a mapping from an observed input space to labels of fuzzy sets in a specified input universe of discourse. Therefore, in the previous study, the range of variables \(si\), \(i\_r\), and \(psi\_f\) were scaled to fit the universe of discourse of fuzzified variables \(s\). \(i\_r\), and \(psi\_f\) with scaling factor \(K1\), \(K2\) and \(K3\) respectively [6]. However, these scaling factors were determined only through the trial and error by an expert in robotics.

To solve this problem, this study uses the gradient descent method [9]. The fuzzy outputs of the consequent part are adjusted by a updating law derived from the gradient descent method. In fuzzy logic, the input-output relation of a system is expressed as a collection of fuzzy IF-THEN rules in which the antecedent and consequent part involve fuzzy variables. For example, if \(x1\) and \(x2\) are fuzzy input variables and \(y\) is the output variable, the relation among \(x1\), \(x2\) and \(y\) may be expressed as

\[
\text{RULE i : If } x1 \text{ is } Ai1 \text{ and } x2 \text{ is } A22 \text{ then } y \text{ is } Bi
\]

where \(i (i = 1, \ldots, n)\) is the number of inference rules. \(Ai1\) and \(A22\) are the membership function in the antecedent part, \(Bi\) is the membership function in the consequent part.

Defuzzification is a mapping from a space of fuzzy control actions defined over an output universe of discourse into a space of nonfuzzy control actions [9]. This process is necessary because in many practical applications crisp control action is required to actuate the control system. Therefore, this study uses the height method for defuzzification [13]-[15]. The defuzzification process is shown in Fig. 3. A membership grade \(\psi_{i0}\) is the largest value among all information criteria from the initial generation to the \(j\)th generation.

\[
\omega_i = Ai1(x1) \land A22(x2)
\]

\[
y^{(k)} = \frac{\sum_i \omega_i y_i}{\sum_i \omega_i}
\]

To update the real numbers \(y_i\) of the consequent part, this study defines a cost function \(H\), which measures the fuzzy inference error by

\[
H = \frac{1}{2} \left( y^{(k)} - y^{(k)} \right)^2
\]

where \(y^{(k)}\) is a desired fuzzy output for the \(k\)th fuzzy inputs, and \(y^{(k)}\) is an output of fuzzy inference for the same \(k\)th fuzzy inputs. However, in operating a industrial robot, the \(k\)th desired fuzzy output \(y^{(k)}\) against parameter variations and payload changes is an unknown value. Thus, the cost function \(H\) is redefined as follows:

\[
H \propto H^* = \frac{1}{2} \left( \psi^{(k)} - \psi^{(k)} \right)^2
\]

where \(\psi^{(k)}\) is a desired trajectory, and \(\psi^{(k)}\) is a measured trajectory. If \(\psi^{(k)}\) approaches to \(\psi^{(k)}\), \(y^{(k)}\) approaches to a desired fuzzy output \(y^{(k)}\).

Using a gradient descent method, the real number \(y_i\) of the consequent part is adjusted by an amount \(\Delta y_i\) to be proportional to the negative gradient \(H\) at the current location:

\[
y_i (n + 1) = y_i (n) + \Delta y_i
\]

\[
y_i (n') = y_i (n) - K \frac{\sum_i \omega_i y_i}{\sum_i \omega_i}
\]

\[
y_i (n') = y_i (n) - K \frac{\sum_i \omega_i (y^{(k)} - y^{(k)})}{\sum_i \omega_i (\psi^{(k)} - \psi^{(k)})}
\]
Fig. 4. Learning procedures of the genetic algorithm.

\[ y_i(n' + 1) = y_i(n') - K \sum \phi_i \delta_i \]  (13)

where \( n' \) is the number of iteration of learning and \( K \) is a positive number called the learning constant which determines the rate of learning.

3. A learning procedure of the genetic algorithm

The learning procedures of the genetic algorithm consist of the several steps as shown in Fig. 4 [13][14]. First, a base population of individuals is established. The individual is expressed in terms of strings consisting of 0 and 1 by uniform random numbers as shown in Fig. 2. Second, to evaluate the fitness value of all individuals of a current population, the trajectory tracking simulation of a robot is carried out by the proposed fuzzy-sliding mode control. During the simulation, the real number \( y_i \) of the consequent part is updated by using (13). And, this step is continued until the following condition is achieved.

\[ | \text{ERROR}(n') - \text{ERROR}(n'-1) | < \delta \]  (14)

where \( \delta \) is a threshold value to judge the convergence of the tracking error \( \text{ERROR} \) as shown in (5). Third, the selection probability of each individual is calculated by using the fitness values. Fourth, the new individuals are generated by three genetic operators: reproduction, crossover, and mutation. These operators are applied repeatedly until the new individuals take over the entire population. Finally, these steps are repeated until the number of generation exceeds the predetermined value. Therefore, as these steps are repeated, individuals of the new population have higher fitness than those of the previous generation.

IV. Simulation

This study developed the two-axis polishing robot to automate the polishing process as shown in Fig. 5 [10]-[12].

The polishing robot has always a big contact force change by removing tool marks and vibration by rotating a polishing tool during polishing. Unless disturbances of polishing robot are compensated for properly, satisfactory control performance cannot be expected. Therefore, in order to evaluate the learning and trajectory tracking performance of the proposed fuzzy-sliding mode controller using the genetic algorithm, a trajectory tracking simulation of a polishing robot is carried out. And also, the proposed controller is compared with the fuzzy-sliding mode controller using the trial and error method, which was proposed in the previous study.

First, the trajectory tracking simulation is carried out by the fuzzy-sliding mode controller proposed in the previous study. The number of inference rules and the shape of membership functions in the antecedent part are determined through the trial and error method by an expert in robotics. Using (3), the inference rules are established as listed in Table 1. The membership function determined by the expert is shown in Fig. 6. And, the determined scaling factors are \( K_1 = 40, K_2 = 30, K_3 = 0.2 \) for axis C and \( K_1 = 45, K_2 = 35, K_3 = 0.15 \) for axis A. The simulation results are shown in Fig. 7.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( s_i )</th>
<th>( i_i )</th>
<th>PB</th>
<th>PM</th>
<th>ZO</th>
<th>NM</th>
<th>NB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PB</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>ZO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td>NB</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>ZO</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZO</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>ZO</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>ZO</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB</td>
<td>ZO</td>
<td>PS</td>
<td>PM</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td>PB</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 6. Membership function determined by the expert.
Second, the trajectory tracking simulation is carried out by the fuzzy-sliding mode control with a self tuning fuzzy inference method based on the genetic algorithm. The initial conditions for the genetic algorithm are listed in Table 2. In order to determine the number of inference rules and the shape of membership functions of the sliding-mode controller, the learning procedure mentioned in Fig. 4 is used. The shape of membership function determined by the learning procedure is shown in Fig. 8. And, this selected inference rules become the global optimal solution by optimizing the Akaike’s information criterion. The simulation results of the proposed algorithm are shown in Fig. 9.

Comparing Fig. 7 with Fig. 9, trajectory tracking simulation shows that the optimal fuzzy inference rules are automatically selected by the genetic algorithm and the control result of the proposed fuzzy-sliding mode control is almost similar to the result of the fuzzy-sliding mode control which is selected through the trial and error method by an expert. Therefore, although a designer is a non-expert who has not the knowledge of robot systems, the fuzzy-sliding mode controller can be designed by the proposed self tuning fuzzy inference method based on the genetic algorithm.

Table 2. Initial conditions for the genetic algorithm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initial conditions</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of individuals</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of individual</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mutation probability</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crossover probability</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of generation</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threshold value</td>
<td>0.00001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V. Experiment

1. Learning and trajectory tracking performance

In order to evaluate results of simulation by experiment, the proposed algorithm is implemented to the automatic polishing robot system which was developed in the previous study. The automatic polishing robot system, named POLYEM, is composed of a host computer, a machining center, and a polishing robot, as shown in Fig. 10 [10]-[12]. A DSP(Digital Signal Processor) board for real-time calculations is used to control the two-axis polishing robot, and a FANUC controller is used to control the machining center. The proposed algorithm is stored in ROM(Read Only Memory) of the DSP board.

In order to determine the number of inference rules

Table 3. System parameters of the polishing robot.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$\omega_i$ (rad/sec)</th>
<th>$\xi$</th>
<th>$J_i$ (Kg m²)</th>
<th>$B_i$ (Kg m²/s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Axis A</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.0114</td>
<td>0.10944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axis C</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.0991</td>
<td>0.23784</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Limit values of switching parameters.
and the shape of membership functions of the fuzzy-sliding mode controller, learning experiments of the polishing robot is carried out. First, to determine the switching parameter $\psi_{\alpha i}$, $\psi_{\beta i}$, and $\psi_{\gamma i}$ in (2), the values of inertia $Ji$ and damping coefficient $Bi$ of a robot system are estimated by the signal compression method which identifies unknown parameters of system [11][12][16]. Using the signal compression method, the unknown parameters of the polishing robot are estimated as listed in Table 3. When slopes of switching line are $c1 = 4$ and $c2 = 4$, the limit values of the switching parameter which are satisfied the existence condition of sliding mode are derived as listed in Table 4. Second, the initial conditions for the genetic algorithm of experiment and simulation are the same. By using a learning procedure of the genetic algorithm in Fig. 4, the shape of membership functions is determined as shown in Fig. 8. And, the experiment results are shown in Fig. 11. Therefore, results of experiment and simulation are the same.

2. Polishing of the die of shadow mask

In the polishing process of the die, the polishing robot has always a big contact force change by removing tool marks and vibration by rotating a polishing tool during polishing. And, when the velocity of the polishing tool is 1200 [rpm] and polishing sheet is 100 [mesh], the efficiency of polishing is best at the 40 [N]-polishing force. However, when the velocity of the polishing tool is 1200 [rpm] and the number of polishing sheet is 800 [mesh], the polished surface is singed black at the 20 [N]-polishing force [11][12]. Therefore, the magnitude of polishing force must be restricted to 20 [N] at 800 [mesh] and 1200 [rpm] because heat is generated by friction between the polishing tool and the surface of die.

In order to evaluate the robust trajectory tracking performances of the proposed fuzzy-sliding mode controller during polishing process, polishing experiment on the die of the shadow mask is performed. The material of the die is STD, and its size is $570 \times 340$ [mm] as shown in Fig. 10 and Fig. 12. The desired polishing trajectory pattern for the die of a shadow mask is generated by PolyCAM, a dedicated CAM software for the system [11][12]. The generated polishing trajectory pattern is shown in Fig. 13.

First, polishing condition (I) is 1000 [mesh], 1300 [rpm] and 10 [N]. The control results along the zigzag pattern are shown in Fig. 14. Second, polishing condition (II) are 1000 [mesh], 1300 [rpm] and 20 [N]. The control results are shown in Fig. 15. In Fig. 14(b) and Fig. 15(b), the maximum error of axis A and axis C are 0.07 degrees. It is possible to correct this error because the structure of the polishing tool has some flexibility and the tool is always in contact with a polishing surface by a constant polishing force. Therefore, the results show that the proposed algorithm can provide reliable tracking performance during the polishing process.

VI. Conclusion

This study proposed the fuzzy-sliding mode controller using a self tuning fuzzy inference method based on the genetic algorithm. Using this method, the number of inference rules and the shape of membership functions were optimized without an expert in robotics. And, the fuzzy outputs of the consequent part were updated by the gradient
descent method. Also, it was guaranteed that the selected inference rules become the global optimal solution by optimizing the Akaike’s information criterion expressing the quality of the inference rules. To investigate the learning and the trajectory tracking performances of the proposed fuzzy-sliding mode controller using the genetic algorithm, the trajectory tracking simulation of the polishing robot was carried out and the controller was compared with the fuzzy-sliding mode controller using the trial and error method, which was proposed in the previous study. Trajectory tracking simulation shows that the optimal fuzzy inference rules are automatically selected by the genetic algorithm, and the control result of the proposed fuzzy-sliding mode control is almost similar to the result of the fuzzy-sliding mode control which is selected through the trial and error method by an expert. Therefore, although designer is a non-expert in robotics, the fuzzy-sliding mode controller can be designed by the proposed self tuning fuzzy inference method based on the genetic algorithm. To evaluate results of simulation by experiment, the proposed algorithm was implemented to the automatic polishing robot system. Results of experiment and simulation are the same. And, the proposed algorithm can provide reliable tracking performance during the polishing process. However, the proposed approach has some potential difficulties. Programming and debugging the proposed algorithm are a very time-consuming and tedious job because the program is very long and has a complex structure. And, a micro-process for real-time calculations is needed to control the robot because the learning procedure is long. Also, to evaluate the performance of the proposed algorithm, this study only applies the algorithm to the polishing robot. Thus, our future study will include the algorithm is applied to other general robots.
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